IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1803 of 1983

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

MESSRS.MEGRAJ TRIKAMDAS

Versus

HEIRS OF RATILAL SANKALCHAND SHAH

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 1803 of 1983

NOTICE SERVED for Petitioners No. 1-3

MR NC THAKKAR for Petitioner No. 1

(MR GN DESAI) for Respondent No. 1

NOTICE NOT RECD BACK for Respondent No. 1

UNSERVED-EXPIRED (N) for Respondents No. 1/1

NOTICE UNSERVED for Respondents No. 1/2-1/3,2

NOTICE SERVED for Respondents No. 1/4-1/6

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 23/01/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Notice was issued to the petitioners on the death of their learned Advocate, but it is returned unserved with the report that petitioner No.1 had expired and that petitioner No.2 and 3, which were firms, were not found at the given address. Similarly, notice was also issued to the respondents on the death of their learned Advocate, but the same is returned unserved with the report that respondent No.1/1 and 1/2 had expired and respondent No.1/3 was not residing at the given address and that the address of respondent No.2 was incomplete. The respondent No.1/4 to 1/6 were served, but they have not entered fresh appearance. In the circumstances, the petition is dismissed. Rule discharged. Interim relief stands vacated.

23-1-2002 (M.C.Patel, J.)

vinod